

On the ubiquity of Sidon sets*

Melvyn B. Nathanson[†]
 Department of Mathematics
 Lehman College (CUNY)
 Bronx, New York 10468
 Email: nathansn@alpha.lehman.cuny.edu

February 1, 2008

Abstract

A Sidon set is a set A of integers such that no integer has two essentially distinct representations as the sum of two elements of A . More generally, for every positive integer g , a $B_2[g]$ -set is a set A of integers such that no integer has more than g essentially distinct representations as the sum of two elements of A . It is proved that almost all small sumsets of $\{1, 2, \dots, n\}$ are $B_2[g]$ -sets, in the sense that if $B_2[g](k, n)$ denotes the number of $B_2[g]$ -sets of cardinality k contained in the interval $\{1, 2, \dots, n\}$, then $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} B_2[g](k, n) / \binom{n}{k} = 1$ if $k = o\left(n^{g/(2g+2)}\right)$.

1 Sidon sets

Let A be a nonempty set of positive integers. The *sumset* $2A$ is the set of all integers of the form $a_1 + a_2$, where $a_1, a_2 \in A$. The set A is called a *Sidon set* if every element of $2A$ has a unique representation as the sum of two elements of A , that is, if

$$a_1, a_2, a'_1, a'_2 \in A$$

and

$$a_1 + a_2 = a'_1 + a'_2,$$

and if

$$a_1 \leq a_2 \quad \text{and} \quad a'_1 \leq a'_2,$$

*2000 Mathematics Subject Classification: 11B13, 11B34, 11B05. Key words and phrases. Sidon sets, sumsets, representation functions.

[†]Supported in part by grants from the NSA Mathematical Sciences Program and the PSC-CUNY Research Award Program. This paper was written while the author was a visitor at the (alas, now defunct) AT&T Bell Laboratories in Murray Hill, New Jersey, an excellent research institution that split into AT&T Research Labs and Lucent Bell Labs, and provided another instance of a whole being greater than the sum of its parts.

then

$$a_1 = a'_1 \quad \text{and} \quad a_2 = a'_2.$$

More generally, for positive integers h and g , the h -fold sumset hA is the set of all sums of h not necessarily distinct elements of A . The *representation function* $r_{A,h}(m)$ counts the number of representations of m in the form

$$m = a_1 + a_2 + \cdots + a_h,$$

where

$$a_i \in A \quad \text{for all } i = 1, 2, \dots, h,$$

and

$$a_1 \leq a_2 \leq \cdots \leq a_h.$$

The set A is called a $B_h[g]$ -set if every element of hA has at most g representations as the sum of h elements of A , that is, if

$$r_{A,h}(m) \leq g$$

for every integer m . In particular, a $B_2[1]$ -set is a Sidon set, and $B_h[1]$ -sets are usually denoted B_h -sets.

Let $h \geq 2$. Let A be a nonempty set of integers, and $a \in A$. Then $r_h(m+a) \geq r_{h-1}(m)$. Therefore, if $r_{A,h-1}(m) > g$ for some $m \in (h-1)A$, then $r_{A,h}(m+a) > g$ for every $a \in A$. It follows that if A is a $B_h[g]$ -set, then A is also a $B_{h-1}[g]$ -set. In particular, every B_h -set is also a B_{h-1} -set.

Let A be a subset of $\{1, 2, \dots, n\}$, and let $|A|$ denote the cardinality of A . Then $hA \subseteq \{h, h+1, \dots, hn\}$. If $|A| = k$, then there are exactly $\binom{k+h-1}{h}$ ordered h -tuples of the form $(a_1, \dots, a_h)$, where $a_i \in A$ for all $i = 1, \dots, h$ and $a_1 \leq \cdots \leq a_h$. If A is a $B_h[g]$ -set and $|A| = k$, then

$$\frac{k^h}{h!} < \binom{k+h-1}{h} = \sum_{m \in hA} r_{A,h}(m) \leq g|hA| < ghn,$$

and so

$$|A| = k < cn^{1/h}$$

for $c = (h!gh)^{1/h}$. It follows that if A is a “large” subset of $\{1, 2, \dots, n\}$, then A cannot be a $B_h[g]$ -set. In this paper we prove that almost all “small” subsets of $\{1, 2, \dots, n\}$ are $B_2[g]$ -sets and almost all “small” subsets of $\{1, 2, \dots, n\}$ are B_h -sets.

Notation. If $\{u_n\}_{n=1}^\infty$ and $\{v_n\}_{n=1}^\infty$ are sequences and $v_n > 0$ for all n , we write $u_n = o(v_n)$ if $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} u_n/v_n = 0$, and $u_n = O(v_n)$ or $u_n \ll v_n$ if $|u_n| \leq cv_n$ for some $c > 0$ and all $n \geq 1$. The number c in this inequality is called the *implied constant*.

2 Random small $B_2[g]$ -sets

We require the following elementary lemma.

Lemma 1 *If $n \geq 1$ and $0 \leq j \leq k \leq n$, then*

$$\frac{\binom{n-j}{k-j}}{\binom{n}{k}} \leq \left(\frac{k}{n}\right)^j.$$

Proof. We have

$$\frac{\binom{n-j}{k-j}}{\binom{n}{k}} = \frac{(n-j)!k!}{(k-j)!n!} = \prod_{i=0}^{j-1} \frac{k-i}{n-i} \leq \left(\frac{k}{n}\right)^j$$

since

$$\frac{k-i}{n-i} \leq \frac{k}{n}$$

for $i = 0, 1, \dots, n-1$. $\square$

Theorem 1 *For any positive integers g, k , and n , let $B_2[g](k, n)$ denote the number of $B_2[g]$ -sets A contained in $\{1, \dots, n\}$ with $|A| = k$. Then*

$$B_2[g](k, n) > \binom{n}{k} \left(1 - \frac{4k^{2g+2}}{n^g}\right).$$

Proof. Let A be a subset of $\{1, 2, \dots, n\}$ of cardinality k . If A is not a $B_2[g]$ -set, then there is an integer $m \leq 2n$ such that $r_{A,2}(m) > g$, that is, m has at least $g+1$ representations as the sum of two elements of A . This means that the set A contains $g+1$ integers $a_1, \dots, a_{g+1}$ such that

$$1 \leq a_1 < \dots < a_{g+1} \leq \frac{m}{2},$$

and A also contains the $g+1$ integers $m - a_i$ for $i = 1, \dots, g+1$. If $a_{g+1} < m/2$, then

$$|\{a_i, m - a_i : i = 1, \dots, g+1\}| = 2g - 2.$$

If $a_{g+1} = m/2$, then

$$|\{a_i, m - a_i : i = 1, \dots, g+1\}| = 2g - 1.$$

Therefore, for each integer m , the number of sets $A \subseteq \{1, \dots, n\}$ such that $|A| = k$ and $r_{A,2}(m) \geq g+1$ is at most

$$\binom{\lceil \frac{m-1}{2} \rceil}{g+1} \binom{n-2g-2}{k-2g-2} + \binom{\lceil \frac{m-1}{2} \rceil}{g} \binom{n-2g-1}{k-2g-1},$$

and so

$$\binom{n}{k} - B_2[g](k, n) \leq \sum_{m \leq 2n} \binom{\lceil \frac{m-1}{2} \rceil}{g+1} \binom{n-2g-2}{k-2g-2} \\ + \sum_{m \leq 2n} \binom{\lceil \frac{m-1}{2} \rceil}{g} \binom{n-2g-1}{k-2g-1}.$$

Observing that

$$\sum_{m \leq 2n} \binom{\lceil \frac{m-1}{2} \rceil}{g+1} < \sum_{m \leq 2n} \left(\frac{m}{2}\right)^{g+1} \leq 2n^{g+2}$$

and

$$\sum_{m \leq 2n} \binom{\lceil \frac{m-1}{2} \rceil}{g} < 2n^{g+1}$$

and applying Lemma 1, we obtain

$$1 - \frac{B_2[g](k, n)}{\binom{n}{k}} \leq \sum_{m \leq 2n} \left(\frac{\binom{\lceil \frac{m-1}{2} \rceil}{g+1} \binom{n-2g-2}{k-2g-2}}{\binom{n}{k}} + \frac{\binom{\lceil \frac{m-1}{2} \rceil}{g} \binom{n-2g-1}{k-2g-1}}{\binom{n}{k}} \right) \\ \leq \sum_{m \leq 2n} \binom{\lceil \frac{m-1}{2} \rceil}{g+1} \left(\frac{k}{n}\right)^{2g+2} + \sum_{m \leq 2n} \binom{\lceil \frac{m-1}{2} \rceil}{g} \left(\frac{k}{n}\right)^{2g+1} \\ < 2n^{g+2} \left(\frac{k}{n}\right)^{2g+2} + 2n^{g+1} \left(\frac{k}{n}\right)^{2g+1} \\ \leq \frac{4k^{2g+2}}{n^g}.$$

This completes the proof. $\square$

Theorem 2 Let $\{k_n\}_{n=1}^\infty$ be a sequence of positive integers such that $k_n \leq n$ for all n and

$$k_n = o\left(n^{g/(2g+2)}\right).$$

Then

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{B_2[g](k_n, n)}{\binom{n}{k_n}} = 1.$$

Proof. This follows immediately from Theorem 1. $\square$

Theorem 3 Let $B_2(k, n)$ denote the number of Sidon sets of cardinality k contained in $\{1, \dots, n\}$. If $k_n = o(n^{1/4})$, then

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{B_2(k_n, n)}{\binom{n}{k_n}} = 1.$$

Proof. This follows immediately from Theorem 2 with $g = 1$. $\square$

Theorem 4 Let $\{k_n\}_{n=1}^\infty$ be a sequence of positive integers such that $k_n \leq n$ for all n and

$$k_n = o\left(n^{g/(2g+3)}\right).$$

Then

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\sum_{k \leq k_n} B_2[g](k, n)}{\sum_{k \leq k_n} \binom{n}{k}} = 1.$$

Proof. It suffices to show that

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\sum_{k \leq k_n} \left(\binom{n}{k} - B_2[g](k, n)\right)}{\sum_{k \leq k_n} \binom{n}{k}} = 0,$$

where $f(k)$ is defined in the proof of Theorem 1. If $a_1, \dots, a_\ell, b_1, \dots, b_\ell$ are positive real numbers and $B = \max(b_1, \dots, b_\ell)$, then

$$\frac{a_1 + \dots + a_\ell}{b_1 + \dots + b_\ell} \leq \frac{a_1 + \dots + a_\ell}{B} \leq \frac{a_1}{b_1} + \dots + \frac{a_\ell}{b_\ell}.$$

This implies that

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{\sum_{k \leq k_n} \left(\binom{n}{k} - B_2[g](k, n)\right)}{\sum_{k \leq k_n} \binom{n}{k}} &\leq \sum_{k \leq k_n} \frac{4k^{2g+2}}{n^g} \\ &\leq \frac{4k_n^{2g+3}}{n^g} \\ &= 4 \left(\frac{k_n}{n^{g/(2g+3)}} \right)^{2g+3} \\ &= o(n), \end{aligned}$$

and the proof is complete. $\square$

We can restate our results in the language of probability. Let Ω be the probability space consisting of the $\binom{n}{k}$ subsets of $\{1, \dots, n\}$ of cardinality k , where the probability of choosing $A \in \Omega$ is $1/\binom{n}{k}$. If $P_{h,g}(k, n)$ denotes the probability that a random set $A \in \Omega$ is a $B_h[g]$ -set, then Theorem 2 states that

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} P_{2,g}(k_n, n) = 1$$

if $k_n = o\left(n^{g/(2g+2)}\right)$.

Similarly, Theorem 4 states that if $k_n = o\left(n^{g/(2g+3)}\right)$ and if $P_{h,g}(k_n, n)$ denotes the probability that a random set $A \subseteq \{1, \dots, n\}$ of cardinality $|A| \leq k_n$ is a $B_h[g]$ -set, then

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} P_{2,g}(k_n, n) = 1.$$

3 Random small B_h -sets

A set A is called a B_h -set if $r_{A,h}(m) = 1$ for all $m \in hA$. Let $B_h(k, n)$ denote the number of B_h -sets of cardinality k contained in $\{1, \dots, n\}$. Since every set of integers is a B_1 -set, and every B_h set is a B_{h-1} -set, it follows that

$$\binom{n}{k} = B_1(k, n) \geq \dots \geq B_{h-1}(k, n) \geq B_h(k, n) \geq \dots$$

We shall prove that almost all “small” subsets of $\{1, \dots, n\}$ are B_h -sets. The method is similar to that used to prove Theorem 2, but, for $h \geq 3$, we have to consider the possible dependence between different representations of an integer n as the sum of h elements of A . This means the following: Let $(a_1, \dots, a_h)$ and $(a'_1, \dots, a'_h)$ be h -tuples of elements of A such that

$$a_1 + \dots + a_h = a'_1 + \dots + a'_h,$$

$$a_1 \leq \dots \leq a_h,$$

$$a'_1 \leq \dots \leq a'_h,$$

and

$$\{a_1, \dots, a_h\} \cap \{a'_1, \dots, a'_h\} \neq \emptyset.$$

If $h = 2$, then $a_i = a'_i$ for $i = 1, 2$, but if $h \geq 3$, then it is not necessarily true that $a_i = a'_i$ for all $i = 1, \dots, h$. For example, in the case $h = 3$ we have $1 + 3 + 4 = 1 + 2 + 5$ but $(1, 3, 4) \neq (1, 2, 5)$. In the case $h = 5$ we have $1 + 1 + 2 + 3 + 3 = 1 + 2 + 2 + 2 + 3$ but $(1, 1, 2, 3, 3) \neq (1, 2, 2, 2, 3)$, even though $\{1, 1, 2, 3, 3\} = \{1, 2, 2, 2, 3\}$.

Because of the lack of independence, we need a careful description of a representation of m as the sum of h not necessarily distinct integers. We introduce the following notation. Let A be a set of positive integers. Corresponding to each representation of m in the form

$$m = a_1 + \dots + a_h, \tag{1}$$

where $a_1, \dots, a_h \in A$ and $a_1 \leq \dots \leq a_h$, there is a unique triple

$$(r, (h_j), (a'_j)), \tag{2}$$

where

- (i) r is the number of distinct summands in this representation,
- (ii) $(h_j) = (h_1, \dots, h_r)$ is an ordered partition of h into r positive parts, that is, an r -tuple of positive integers such that

$$h = h_1 + \dots + h_r,$$

- (iii) $(a'_j) = (a'_1, \dots, a'_r)$ is an r -tuple of pairwise distinct elements of A such that

$$1 \leq a'_1 < \dots < a'_r \leq m$$

and

$$\{a_1, \dots, a_h\} = \{a'_1, \dots, a'_r\},$$

- (iv)

$$m = h_1 a'_1 + \dots + h_r a'_r,$$

where each integer a'_j occurs exactly h_j times in the representation (1).

There is a one-to-one correspondence between distinct representations of an integer m in the form (1) and triples of the form (2). Moreover, for each r and m , the integer a'_r is completely determined by the ordered partition (h_j) of h and the $(r-1)$ -tuple $(a'_1, \dots, a'_{r-1})$. Therefore, for positive integers m and r , the number of triples of the form (2) does not exceed $\pi_r(h)m^{r-1}$, where $\pi_r(h)$ is number of ordered partitions of h into exactly r positive parts.

Theorem 5 *Let $h \geq 2$. For all positive integers $k \leq n$,*

$$B_{h-1}(k, n) - B_h(k, n) \ll \binom{n}{k} \frac{k^{2h}}{n}$$

and

$$B_h(k, n) \gg \binom{n}{k} \left(1 - \frac{k^{2h}}{n}\right).$$

where the implied constants depend only on h .

Proof. Let A be a B_{h-1} -set contained in $\{1, \dots, n\}$. Then $hA \subseteq \{h, h+1, \dots, hn\}$. If $m \in hA$ and m has two distinct representations as the sum of h elements of A , then there exist positive integers r_1 and r_2 and triples

$$(r_1, (h_{1,j}), (a'_{1,j})) \quad \text{and} \quad (r_2, (h_{2,j}), (a'_{2,j})) \quad (3)$$

such that, for $i = 1$ and 2 , we have

$$m = \sum_{j=1}^{r_i} h_{i,j} a'_{i,j},$$

$$h = \sum_{j=1}^{r_i} h_{i,j},$$

and

$$1 \leq a'_{i,1} < \dots < a'_{i,r_i} \leq m.$$

The number of pairs of triples of the form (3) for fixed positive integers m , $r_1 \leq h$, and $r_2 \leq h$ is at most

$$\pi_{r_1}(h)m^{r_1-1}\pi_{r_2}(h)m^{r_2-1} \ll m^{r_1+r_2-2},$$

where the implied constant depends only on h . Moreover, since A is a B_{h-1} -set, no number can have two representations as the sum of $h-1$ elements of A . This implies that

$$\{a'_{1,1}, a'_{1,2}, \dots, a'_{1,r_1}\} \cap \{a'_{2,1}, a'_{2,2}, \dots, a'_{2,r_2}\} = \emptyset,$$

and so the set

$$\{a'_{i,j} : i = 1, 2 \text{ and } j = 1, \dots, r_i\}$$

contains exactly $r_1 + r_2$ elements of A . Therefore, given positive integers $r_1 \leq h$, $r_2 \leq h$ and $m \leq hn$, there are

$$\ll m^{r_1+r_2-2} \binom{n-r_1-r_2}{k-r_1-r_2}$$

sets A for which m has two representations as the sum of h elements of A , and in which one representation uses r_1 distinct integers and the other representation uses r_2 distinct integers. Summing over $m \leq hn$, we obtain

$$\ll n^{r_1+r_2-1} \binom{n-r_1-r_2}{k-r_1-r_2}.$$

Applying Lemma 1, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} B_{h-1}(k, n) - B_h(k, n) &\ll \binom{n}{k} \sum_{r_1, r_2 \leq h} \frac{n^{r_1+r_2-1} \binom{n-r_1-r_2}{k-r_1-r_2}}{\binom{n}{k}} \\ &\ll \binom{n}{k} \sum_{r_1, r_2 \leq h} n^{r_1+r_2-1} \left(\frac{k}{n}\right)^{r_1+r_2} \\ &= \binom{n}{k} \sum_{r_1, r_2 \leq h} \frac{k^{r_1+r_2}}{n} \\ &\ll \binom{n}{k} \frac{k^{2h}}{n}. \end{aligned}$$

It follows that

$$\begin{aligned} \binom{n}{k} - B_h(k, n) &= B_1(k, n) - B_h(k, n) \\ &= \sum_{j=2}^h (B_{j-1}(k, n) - B_j(k, n)) \\ &\ll \sum_{j=2}^h \binom{n}{k} \frac{k^{2j}}{n} \\ &\ll \binom{n}{k} \frac{k^{2h}}{n}, \end{aligned}$$

and so

$$B_h(k, n) \gg \binom{n}{k} \left(1 - \frac{k^{2h}}{n}\right).$$

This completes the proof. $\square$

Theorem 6 *Let $B_h(k, n)$ denote the number of B_h -sets A contained in $\{1, \dots, n\}$ with $|A| = k$. Let $\{k_n\}_{n=1}^\infty$ be a sequence of positive integers such that*

$$k_n = o\left(n^{1/2h}\right).$$

Then

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{B_h(k_n, n)}{\binom{n}{k_n}} = 1.$$

Proof. By Theorem 5,

$$1 \geq \frac{B_h(k_n, n)}{\binom{n}{k_n}} \gg 1 - \left(\frac{k_n}{n^{1/2h}}\right)^{2h},$$

and so

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{B_h(k_n, n)}{\binom{n}{k_n}} = 1.$$

This completes the proof. $\square$

4 Remarks added in proof

A variant of Theorem 3 appears in Nathanson [2, p. 37, Exercise 14]. Godbole, Janson, Locantore, and Rapoport [1] used probabilistic methods to obtain a converse of Theorem 6. They proved that if

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{k_n}{n^{1/2h}} = \infty,$$

then

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{B_h(k_n, n)}{\binom{n}{k_n}} = 0.$$

They also analyzed the threshold behavior of $B_h(k, n)$, and proved that if

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{k_n}{n^{1/2h}} = \Lambda > 0,$$

then

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{B_h(k_n, n)}{\binom{n}{k_n}} = e^{-\Lambda},$$

where $\lambda = \kappa_h \Lambda^{2h}$.

It is natural to conjecture that analogous results hold for the function $B_h[g](k, n)$, namely, if

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{k_n}{n^{g/(gh+h)}} = 0,$$

then

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{B_h(k_n, n)}{\binom{n}{k_n}} = 1,$$

and if

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{k_n}{n^{g/(gh+h)}} = \infty,$$

then

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{B_h(k_n, n)}{\binom{n}{k_n}} = 0.$$

It should also be possible to describe the threshold behavior of $B_h(k_n, n)/\binom{n}{k_n}$ in the case

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{B_h(k_n, n)}{\binom{n}{k_n}} = \Lambda > 0.$$

References

- [1] A. P. Godbole, S. Janson, N. W. Locantore, Jr., and R. Rapoport, *Random Sidon sequences*, J. Number Theory **75** (1999), 7–22.
- [2] M. B. Nathanson, *Additive number theory: Inverse problems and the geometry of sumsets*, Graduate Texts in Mathematics, vol. 165, Springer-Verlag, New York, 1996.